

89 (App. 1999), stating she has reviewed the entire record and has found no meritorious issues to raise on appeal. Counsel has asked us to search the record for “error.” Enis has not filed a supplemental brief.

¶2 Viewed in the light most favorable to sustaining the verdicts, the evidence was sufficient to support the jury’s findings of guilt. *See State v. Tamplin*, 195 Ariz. 246, ¶ 2, 986 P.2d 914, 914 (App. 1999). The evidence presented at trial showed that, on two occasions in November 2008, Enis met another individual and an undercover police officer at designated locations and provided PCP to the individual in the presence of the officer. The other individual then gave the PCP to the officer in exchange for the payment of money. We further conclude the sentences imposed are within the statutory limits.

¶3 Pursuant to our obligation under *Anders*, we have searched the record for fundamental, reversible error and have found none. Therefore, we affirm Enis’s convictions and sentences.

/s/ Garye L. Vásquez
GARYE L. VÁSQUEZ, Presiding Judge

CONCURRING:

/s/ Peter J. Eckerstrom
PETER J. ECKERSTROM, Judge

/s/ Virginia C. Kelly
VIRGINIA C. KELLY, Judge